## Henderson, Katie

From: Torrence, Rufus

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 06, 2012 8:32 AM **To:** Justin Halford (jwh@twhenterprises.com)

**Cc:** Henderson, Katie

**Subject:** AFIN 34-00101 ARP001054 AR0050784 TWH Site Visit for Compliance Assurance:

Inspection

**Attachments:** TWH Insp 20120516.doc; TWH Lab Report 20120516.xls



June 1, 2012

Justin Halford, Engineer TWH Enterprises, Inc 700 Pepsi Cola Road Batesville, AR 72501

Re: May 16, 2012 Site Visit for Compliance Assurance: Inspection (Tracking No. ARP001054, AFIN 34-00101, AR0050784)

Dear Mr. Halford:

Part of ADEQ responsibility to EPA is to ensure that inspections of industries regulated by categorical pretreatment standards (40 CFR Part 405 – 471) are performed on a periodic basis. These industries are referred to as Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) if they discharge the regulated wastewater into the local Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). In accordance to 40 CFR 403.12(e), these CIUs must submit periodic reports to the Control Authority (ADEQ or Department) and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v) be inspected by the Control Authority at least bi-annually.

TWH has processes (anodizing, alodine, etc.) in the Southside, AR facility that are regulated by 40 CFR Part 433 and discharges to the Community of Southside POTW. Therefore, TWH is a CIU. On Wednesday (May 16, 2012), the Department conducted an inspection of the TWH facility.

The Department appreciates TWH taking the time on Wednesday to show ADEQ Engineer (Rufus Torrence) the new TWH facility in Southside. The inspection consisted of visiting key areas (shown on the attached report) and taking a wastewater sample. In the anodizing area, contact process water is circulated through a

deionization unit. Since TWH releases the wastewater in batch operations from the circulating system, the ADEQ engineer took a sample from the main holding tank which contained raw untreated wastewater.

The ADEQ lab analysis is attached. The wastewater in the holding tank is almost pure except for some aluminum. TWH must continue to sample the wastewater after treatment and just before it enters the POTW.

The Department appreciates the TWH's continued efforts in periodic reporting.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Department at (501) 682-0626 or <a href="mailto:torrence@adeq.state.ar.us">torrence@adeq.state.ar.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Rufus Torrence, ADEQ Engineer

Attachments: ADEQ Lab Analysis

ADEQ Inspection Report dated May 16, 2012





**Client Report For:** 

TWH Enterprises, LLC 32-00548 2012 1513

Attention:

**Client Address:** 

,

Report Date: LAB ID:

Comment:

June 04, 2012 AR12MAY17-01

Approved By:\_\_\_\_\_

Date:June 04, 2012

Laboratory Contact: Jeff Ruehr Ruehr@aded state ar us 501-682-0955

Client: CSI Client Sample ID: TWH

<u>Lab ID:</u> 2012-1513 <u>Collection Date:</u> 5/16/2012 11:40:00 AM

Matrix: Water

## <u>Analyses</u>

Metals by EPA 200.8	EPA 200.8		Batch: 12052502	Run:	1	
		<u>Result</u>	Reporting	<u>MDL</u>	<u>Qual</u>	<u>Unit</u>
Aluminum	16	690	200	20		ug/L
Antimony	<	100	100	5		ug/L
Arsenic	<	10	10	0.5		ug/L
Barium	<	100	100	2.0		ug/L
Beryllium	</td <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>0.1</td> <td></td> <td>ug/L</td>	5	5	0.1		ug/L
Boron	3′	16	250	5.0		ug/L
Cadmium		10	10	0.3		ug/L
Calcium	8.	08	0.4	0.04		mg/L
Chromium	29	9.7	10	0.3		ug/L
Cobalt		10	10	0.5		ug/L
Copper	73		10	0.5		ug/L
Iron	2′	16	200	10.0		ug/L
Lead	<	10	10	0.1		ug/L
Magnesium	5.	54	1	0.1		mg/L
Manganese		10	10	0.2		ug/L
Nickel		3.5	25	0.5		ug/L
Potassium		10	10	0.05		mg/L
Selenium		20	20	0.5		ug/L
Silver	</td <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td> <td>ug/L</td>	50	50	1.0		ug/L
Sodium		770	0.4	0.02		mg/L
Thallium		25	25	0.05		ug/L
Vanadium	<2	25	25	1.0		ug/L
Zinc	<<	30	30	2.0		ug/L
Dilution Factor	1					
Analyzed By	Jo	e Semberski				
Analysis Date/Time	M	ay 24 2012 11:12AN	Λ			
Prep By						
Prep Date/Time						

## Analytical Quality Control Results Report

TWH   ICP Metals - water (Total) DUP   Parameter   Result   Result	DL 200 50 5 20 1	RL 200 100 10	Accuracy Control	LIMS ID: 2012-1513  Run: 1  Precision Control  0 - 20
Parameter         Result           Aluminum         1710 ug/L           Aluminum (RPD)         1.0 %           Antimonv (RPD)         4.0 %           Antimony         <100 ug/L           Arsenic         <10 ug/L           Assenic (RPD)         4.3 %           Barium (RPD)         2.6 %           Barium (RPD)         <2.6 %           Beryllium         <5 ug/L           Beryllium (RPD)         22.2 %           Boron (RPD)         0.7 %           Boron         318 ug/L           Cadmium         <10 ug/L           Cadmium (RPD)         0 %           Calcium (RPD)         0.3 %           Calcium         8.05 mg/L           Chromium         29.9 ug/L           Chromium (RPD)         0.8 %	200 50 5 20	200	Accuracy Control	Precision Control 0 - 20
Aluminum (RPD)       1.0 %         Antimony (RPD)       4.0 %         Antimony       <100 ug/L         Arsenic       <10 ug/L         Arsenic (RPD)       4.3 %         Barium (RPD)       2.6 %         Barium       <100 ug/L         Beryllium       <5 ug/L         Beryllium (RPD)       22.2 %         Boron (RPD)       0.7 %         Boron (RPD)       0.7 %         Cadmium       <10 ug/L         Cadmium (RPD)       0.3 %         Calcium (RPD)       0.3 %         Calcium       8.05 mg/L         Chromium       29.9 ug/L         Chromium (RPD)       0.8 %	50 5 20	100		
Aluminum (RPD)       1.0 %         Antimony (RPD)       4.0 %         Antimony       <100 ug/L	5			
Antimony       <100 ug/L	5			
Antimony       <100 ug/L	5			0 - 20
Arsenic       <10 ug/L	20	10		
Barium (RPD)       2.6 %         Barium       <100 ug/L				
Barium (RPD)       2.6 %         Barium       <100 ug/L				0 - 20
Barium       <100 ug/L				0 - 20
Beryllium       <5 ug/L		100		
Bervllium (RPD)       22.2 %         Boron (RPD)       0.7 %         Boron       318 ug/L         Cadmium       <10 ug/L		5		
Boron (RPD)       0.7 %         Boron       318 ug/L         Cadmium       <10 ug/L		ū		0 - 20
Boron       318 ug/L         Cadmium       <10 ug/L				0 - 20
Cadmium       <10 ug/L	50	250		0 20
Cadmium (RPD)       0 %         Calcium (RPD)       0.3 %         Calcium       8.05 mg/L         Chromium       29.9 ug/L         Chromium (RPD)       0.8 %	3	10		
Calcium (RPD)         0.3 %           Calcium         8.05 mg/L           Chromium         29.9 ug/L           Chromium (RPD)         0.8 %	J	10		0 - 20
Calcium       8.05 mg/L         Chromium       29.9 ug/L         Chromium (RPD)       0.8 %				0 - 20
Chromium         29.9 ug/L           Chromium (RPD)         0.8 %	0.4	0.4		0 - 20
Chromium (RPD) 0.8 %	3	10		
CHIOHIUH (KPD) U.0 %	J	10		0 - 20
				0 - 20
	_	40		0 - 20
Cobalt <10 ug/L	5 5	10		
Copper 732 ug/L	5	10		0 - 20
Copper (RPD) 0.2 %				
Iron (RPD) 0.2 %	400	000		0 - 20
Iron 215 ug/L	100	200		
Lead <10 ug/L	1	10		
Lead (RPD) 3.1 %				0 - 20
Magnesium (RPD) 0.4 %		_		0 - 20
Magnesium 5.56 mg/L	1	1		
Manganese <10 ug/L	2	10		
Manganese (RPD) 1.7 %				0 - 20
Nickel (RPD) 1.6 %				0 - 20
Nickel 28 ug/L	5	25		
Potassium <10 mg/L	0.5	10		
Potassium (RPD) 0.1 %				0 - 20
Selenium (RPD) 5.6 %				0 - 20
Selenium <20 ug/L	5	20		
Silver <50 ug/L	10	50		
Silver (RPD) 0 %				0 - 20
Sodium 2780 mg/L	0.2	0.4		
Sodium (RPD) 0.4 %				0 - 20
Thallium (RPD) 0 %				0 - 20
Thallium <25 ug/L	0.5	25		
Vanadium (RPD) 3.9 %				0 - 20
Vanadium <25 ug/L	10	25		
Zinc <30 ug/L	20	30		
Zinc (RPD) 8.1 %		- 00		0 - 20
Dilution Factor 1				ŭ <b>2</b> 0
Analyzed By Joe Sembe	ral:			
Analysis Date/Time May 24 20	-1 CKI			

TWH					LIMS ID: 2012-1513
ICP Metals - water (Total) MS Parameter	Result	DL	RL	Accuracy Control	Run: 1 Precision Control
Aluminum (% Recovery) Antimony (% Recovery) Arsenic (% Recovery)	132 % 95.2 % 94.9 % 3	_		70 - 130 70 - 130 70 - 130	

Barium (% Recovery)	106 %	70 - 130
Beryllium (% Recovery)	93.1 %	70 - 130
Boron (% Recovery)	91.6 %	70 - 130
Cadmium (% Recovery)	94.2 %	70 - 130
Calcium (% Recovery)	106 %	70 - 130
Chromium (% Recovery)	93.0 %	70 - 130
Cobalt (% Recovery)	88.1 %	70 - 130
Copper (% Recovery)	82.9 %	70 - 130
Iron (% Recovery)	92.4 %	70 - 130
Lead (% Recovery)	106 %	70 - 130
Magnesium (% Recovery)	104 %	70 - 130
Manganese (% Recovery)	93 %	70 - 130
Nickel (% Recovery)	84 %	70 - 130
Potassium (% Recovery)	102 %	70 - 130
Selenium (% Recovery)	95.2 %	70 - 130
Silver (% Recovery)	86.5 %	70 - 130
Sodium (% Recovery)	117 %	70 - 130
Thallium (% Recovery)	104 %	70 - 130
Vanadium (% Recovery)	92.5 %	70 - 130
Zinc (% Recovery)	87.4 %	70 - 130
Dilution Factor	1	
Analyzed By	Joe Semberski	
Analysis Date/Time	May 24 2012	

TWH					LIMS ID: 2012-15
ICP Metals - water (Total) MSD					Run:
Parameter	Result	DL	RL	Accuracy Control	Precision Control
Aluminum (% Recovery)	132 %			70 - 130	
Aluminum (RPD)	0.5 %				0 - 20
Antimony (% Recovery)	97.1 %			70 - 130	
Antimony (RPD)	2.0 %				0 - 20
Arsenic (% Recovery)	95.8 %			70 - 130	
Arsenic (RPD)	0.9 %				0 - 20
Barium (% Recovery)	107 %			70 - 130	
Barium (RPD)	0.9 %				0 - 20
Beryllium (% Recovery)	93.7 %			70 - 130	
Beryllium (RPD)	0.7 %				0 - 20
Boron (% Recovery)	92.2 %			70 - 130	
Boron (RPD)	0.5 %				0 - 20
Cadmium (% Recovery)	94.2 %			70 - 130	
Cadmium (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Calcium (% Recovery)	106 %			70 - 130	
Calcium (RPD)	0.5 %				0 - 20
Chromium (% Recovery)	94.4 %			70 - 130	
Chromium (RPD)	1.3 %				0 - 20
Cobalt (% Recovery)	88.8 %			70 - 130	
Cobalt (RPD)	0.8 %				0 - 20
Copper (% Recovery)	84.7 %			70 - 130	
Copper (RPD)	0.8 %				0 - 20
ron (% Recovery)	93.5 %			70 - 130	
ron (RPD)	1.1 %				0 - 20
Lead (% Recovery)	106 %			70 - 130	
.ead (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Magnesium (% Recovery)	105 %			70 - 130	
Magnesium (RPD)	0.6 %				0 - 20
Manganese (% Recovery)	94 %			70 - 130	0 00
Manganese (RPD)	1.2 %				0 - 20
lickel (% Recovery)	86 %			70 - 130	0 00
lickel (RPD)	1.6 %				0 - 20
Potassium (% Recovery)	103 %			70 - 130	
Potassium (RPD)	1.1 %			70 400	0 - 20
Selenium (% Recovery)	97.3 %			70 - 130	0 00
Selenium (RPD)	2.1 %			70 400	0 - 20
Silver (% Recovery)	86.7 %			70 - 130	0.00
Silver (RPD)	0.2 %			70 400	0 - 20
Sodium (% Recovery)	176 %			70 - 130	0.00
Sodium (RPD)	2.0 %			70 400	0 - 20
hallium (% Recovery)	104 %			70 - 130	0 00
hallium (RPD)	0.1 %			70 400	0 - 20
/anadium (% Recovery)	93.8 %			70 - 130	0 00
/anadium (RPD)	1.4 %			70 400	0 - 20
Zinc (% Recovery)	88.5 %			70 - 130	0 00
Zinc (RPD)	1.3 %				0 - 20
Dilution Factor	1				
Analysis Date/Time	May 24 2012				
Analyzed By	Joe Sembers <u>ki</u>	age			

of

Pretreatment Industrial Inspection						
Facility 1	Information					
Facility Name: TWH Enterprises, Inc	Site Address: 700 Pepsi Cola Rd.					
	Batesville, AR 72501					
Signatory Authority (Name & Title):						
Phone: (870) 251-1200	Mailing Address (if different): (Same)					
Fax: (870) 251-1202						
Address: (Same)	Corporate Owner Name and address (if applicable):					
	Not Applicable – Privately Owned					
Phone: (Same)						
Fax: (Same)	Phone: Not Applicable					
Contact Person (Name & Title):	Fax: Not Applicable					
Justin Halford, Engineer	Corporate CEO: Not Applicable					
e-mail: jwh@twhenterprises.com	e-mail: Not Applicable					
Facility Permit # ARP001054 AFIN 32-00548	Last Inspection Date: 5-12-2010					
POTW (City) IU discharges to: Southside Public Water	Authority POTW's NPDES #AR0050784					
Industrial Classification:						
<u> </u>	b: 40 CFR 433 Metal Finisher (Anodizing and Alodine)					
Table of Contents						
I. Summary of Inspection	Page of					
A. Inspection Objectives						
B. Inspection Analysis						
II. Pre-Inspection Meeting	Page of					
A. General Information	2.102					
B. Facility Permits						
C. Additional Comments						
	cility and attachments will be included					
	t the facility and attachments aren't necessary					
A. Industrial Processes	yes 🗵 no 🗌 Page of					
B. Pollution Prevention Activities	yes no Page of					
C. Pretreatment System	yes no Page of					
D. Chemical Storage	yes no Page of					
E. Spill/Slug Control Plan	yes 🛛 no 🗌 Page of					
F. Self-Monitoring/TOMP	yes 🛛 no 🗌 Page of					
Comments: TWH relocated to a new building with ab	oout 62,000 sq ft. TWH is no longer an existing source.					
Since TWH moved to this new location in 2009, TWH	will be regulated by 40 CFR 433 instead of					
40 CFR 413. TWH moved from Newport to Batesville	and the new location is a "New Source".					
Inspector's Name (Print): Rufus Torrence	Signature:					
	Trusto overce					
IU Rep's Name (Print)	Signature: Not Applicable					
T W Halford						
Date and Time Inspection Ended: 5-16-2012 @ 12:00	pm					

	I. Summary o	of Inspec	etion	
A. Insp	ection and Objective (			tion)
Permit Renewal	⊠ Bi-Annual		/Slug	Unscheduled
New Construction	Noncompliance	Complaint		
Inspection Objective(s) Comple	iance Assurance			
Checklist of items to be reviewed	and/or visually inspected:	,		
Pre-inspection Meeting	Permit Conditions	· 	Safety Concerns	
Process Inspection	Pretreatment Proces	is	TOMP	
Chemical Storage	Discharge point(s)		Spills/Slug Contr	rol Plan
Records Review	RCRA information			etreatment Schematics
☐ IU sampling procedures	Flow/pH Meter(s)		Calibration Reco	ords
MSDS Inventory List	☐ New MSDS			
Comments:				
	D.T. (1			
	B. Inspection	•		
Were there any deficiencies/viola				Yes No
Provide a brief narrative of defici	encies/violations or other	concerns i	in the following are	eas:
Records Review				
Process Area(s)				
1100033 1104(3)				
Pretreatment System				
Self Monitoring Procedures				
Diversion/Sewer Meters				
Diversion/Sewer Meters				
Spill/Slug Control Plan				
Sampling Point				
Chemical Storage				
Chemical Storage				

II. Pre-Inspection Meeting					
A. General	Information				
Date and Time Inspection Started: 5-16-2012 @	am SIC code(s): <b>3471</b> , <b>3499</b> , <b>3812</b>				
IU Reps/Titles	Control Authority Reps/Titles				
Justin Halford, Mechanical Engineer	Rufus Torrence, Engineer				
End product(s): ( <b>Job Shop</b> )	Approx. # of units produced: <b>N/A</b>				
Days of Operation: Varies	Days of Production (if different): Varies				
Hours of Operation: Varies	Hours of Production (if different): Varies				
Shift 1, hrs.: Day Only Shift 2, hrs.:	N/A Shift 3, hrs.: N/A				
# of Employees: 6 Peak M	Ios.: N/A "Off" Mos.: N/A				
	N/A ☑ If yes, when?				
	N/A ☑ If yes, when?				
Is the facility currently in compliance with all pretreatme	nt reporting requirements and limits? Yes No				
If No, explain:					
· 1					
Are there any Special Entry Procedures for the Discharge	/Sample point locations? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \( \subseteq \)				
If Yes, explain:					
Are there any Safety Concerns or Identified Hazards that	the inspector should be aware of:  Yes.  No				
If Yes, explain:	the inspector should be affaire on 100 110				
Has there been any changes since the last inspection rega	rding the following items:				
	ves, obtain copy of updated schematic for facility file.				
Processes? Yes No N/A If yes, explain:	ios, obtain copy of updated schematic for facility file.				
Trocesses. Tes Trois Trans.					
Production Levels? Yes No N/A If yes, expla	in·				
Troduction Levels: Tes 10 10/A 11 yes, expit					
Raw materials? Yes No N/A If yes, explain					
Raw materials? Tes No N/A In yes, explain	i.				
Flow rates? Yes No N/A If yes, explain					
riow rates? Tes No N/A ni yes, explain					
A 1 1 1					
Are regulated and non-regulated wastestreams combined					
Prior to Pretreatment System?	yes				
If Yes, was the CWF used to calculate limits?	yes no N/A				
Prior to connection to the POTW sanitary sewer?	yes				
At connection to sanitary sewer?  Production and flows verified for Production-Based Stan	yes				
What is the current avg. production rate and process flow	·				
2. p-200000 1000	rr-				
Is the prod. rate or flow substantially different (+/- 20%) <b>Not Applicable</b>	from those used in calculating limits? yes \( \bigcup \) no \( \bigcup \)				

	B. Facility Permits	
Permit Type	Permit No.	Expiration Date
Air		
RCRA	ARD067681189	(Active)
NPDES	ARR00B261	(Voided)
Other		
	C. Additional Comments	
(Note which section or attachment	comments are regarding)	
1. In accordance with 40	CFR 433.10(c)(2), TWH falls under 40 CF	R 433 in lieu of 40 CFR 413.
The Batesville facility	is a "new source". Referring to 40 CFR 40	3.3(m)(1), the Pretreatment
Standards for 40 CFR	Part 433 were published on August 31, 198	32. So any facility constructed
after this date is a "new	w source". Only "existing Job Shops" fall t	ınder 40 CFR Part 413.
2. TWH has a 40 CFR 46	3 Plastic Molding and Forming operation	in the Batesville facility.

Attachment A: Industrial Process(es)						
List process(es) generat	ing wastewate	r. Note if it's categ	gorica	l (federally regulated w	/pretrea	atment limits) or not
1. Anodizing		Yes 🛛 No 🗌	4.			Yes No No
2. Alodine		Yes 🛛 No 🗌	5.			Yes No No
3.		Yes 🗌 No 🗌	6.			Yes No No
Were processes visually	inspected?	Yes No No	N/	A		
Brief description of pro	cess(es):					
TWH is anodizing a	nd alodining	small machined pa	arts, e	etc.		
General observations of	facility's inde	oor housekeeping:	Exc	cellent (New Building)	1	
General observations of	area outside f	facility's building:	Exc	cellent (New Landscap	oing)	
Check all sources of wa		•	-	_		
(M) or estimated (E). I	Equip. (			Floor Cleanup		ent Bath Solutions
Overflows		Sicurup		1 loor Cleanup		on Buil Solutions
Product Cleaning	Forklifts	s Maint./Wash		Tank Dragout	☐ Aiı	r Pollution Devices
	Torking	, ividific., vv dsii		Tunk Drugout		Tonation Devices
				T		G G . !!
Boiler Blowdown	☐ Spent R	inse Tanks		Equipment Coolants	∐ No Water	on-Contact Cooling
					vv ater	
Stormwater						
List Major Raw Materia	als and Chemic	rals used:				
<b>J</b>			ard n	netal shapes (round ba	ars, sau	are bars, plates, etc.)
1 VVIII S ti JOD SHOP	Willest market	purts ir oir stuite		neur snapes (round se	ars, squ	are surs, places, every
Check Waste Stream Po	ollutants of Co	ncern from Process	s(es)			
	Metals (List	Cd, Cu, Cr, Pb		Solvents (List)		
BOD	Ni, Ag & Zn					
TSS Cl <sub>2</sub>						
0&G						
рН						
Are there floor drains in	the Process a	rea? Yes	No	If yes list number and t	he locat	tion of all floor drains:

Attachment	B: Pollution 1	Preventio	on (P2) / Recycling Activities
Does the facility have a written P2 Plan?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Does this facility practice P2?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
Environmental Management System in pla	ace? Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
ISO Certified?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Written Standard Operating Procedures?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Preventative Maintenance Program	Yes 🗵	No 🗌 (	(hydraulic systems, valves, pumps, etc)
Explain:			
Water Reuse:	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
Explain:			
Cost Accounting to Track Savings:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Inventory Control / "Green Purchasing":	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 (1	lean manufacturing/"env. friendly purchasing", etc)
Explain:			
Employee Training:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Spent Solvent Reclamation?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Recycle Paper, Aluminum, Boxes, and Pa	llets? Yes 🖂	No	
Explain:			
Recycle Waste Oil, Solvents, and Lubrica	nts? Yes	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Other Activities			
P2 Equipment/Practices in use:			
Overflow Alarms			Aqueous Cleaning Solutions
Fog Spray Rinsing			Countercurrent Rinsing
☐ Dragout Collection Trays			Seal-Less Pumps
☐ Air Jets to Blow Parts Dry			Secondary Containment of Process Solutions
Aqueous Paint Stripping Solutions			Bead Blasting to Remove Paint
☐ Water Soluble Cutting Fluids			Recycle Overspray
☐ In-Process Recycle (Ion Exchange, Re	verse Osmosis)		☐ Conductivity Meters
Dead Rinse Tanks			☐ Bath / Rinse Filtration

Attachment C: Pretreatment System								
Are wastestreams s	egregated before preta	reatment?	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A			
Are they pretreated	prior to discharge to	the sanitary sewer?	Yes Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
Was the pretreatme	nt system visually ins	pected during this vis	it? Xes	☐ No	□ N/A			
Check which of the following are utilized for pretreatment prior to discharge to sanitary sewer:								
Dissolved air flo	oatation	Membrane Tech.	☐ Ion Ex	change	☐ Biological Treatment			
Centrifugation		☐ Flow Equalization	n 🔲 Ozona	tion	Chlorinating			
Chemical Precip	oitation	Oil/Water Separa	ntion Revers	e Osmosis	Grit Removal			
Sludge Filter Pr	ess	Grease Trap	Screen		Solvent Separation			
pH Adjustment		Sand Trap	Sedime	entation	☐ Silver Recovery			
Belt/Disk Oil Sl	kimmer	Deionization Uni	t 🔲					
	1							
Provide Brief Desc	Provide Brief Description of Pretreatment System (leaks, cleanliness, equipment not in working order):							
2.10.100 2.10. 2 000. puon of 1 reacannem o joieni (teans), eleminioso, equipment not in working order).								
Closed loop system with D. I. treatment is in good condition and well maintained. D. I. unit is backwashed every								
three weeks (approximately) and about 500 gallons of wastewater is treated and released to the POTW. The entire								
process area is above a sloped pit that ends in the pretreatment area. Any captured www is pumped to treatment.								
Does the description match the schematic currently on file?  Yes No N/A								
System Operator(s) Name: Justin Halford								
Does discharge permit require licensed operator?								
Is the System Operator(s) licensed by the State of Arkansas (per Reg. # 3?) Yes N/A								
List Name(s) and License classification:								
Is training provided to the Pretreatment System Operator(s)?								
If Yes, list type and frequency:								
Is the discharge from the Pretreatment System? Batch Continuous Combination								
If any discharges are batch type or combination, describe the following:								
Volume of each batch: 500 gallons per 2-3 weeks								
rotatile of each outen. Soo ganons per 2-3 weeks								
Describe process fr	om which batch origin	nated (spent bath e.g.	): Spent Anodizin	g/Aladining	Rath			
Describe process in	om which outen origin	med (spent bum, e.g.	, Spent mouldin	5, 1 1 0 d i i i i i	, 2000 1			
Annrovimate durati	C1 + 1 1' 1							
LAPPIOAIIIAU UULAU	On Of hatch discharge	•						
	on of batch discharge Calibration Procedu		Comments (Totalia	zer Reading)				
Meter Type N/A	On of batch discharge Calibration Procedu N/A		Comments (Totaliz	zer Reading)				

Attachment D: Chemical Storage Area(s)							
Does the facility have a designated chemical storage	e area(s)?	No (see comment below)					
Was this area(s) visually inspected?	□Yes	□No ⊠ N/A					
Describe Chemical Storage Area(s)	Are there floor drains in this area?	If yes, where does this drain lead to?					
1.	☐Yes ☐No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer					
Not Applicable							
2. Not Applicable	☐Yes ☐No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer					
3. Not Applicable	☐Yes ☐No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer					
	□Yes □No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer					
4. Not Applicable							
Does the Chemical Storage Area(s) contain any of the following? Not Applicable							
Dikes, Berms for Containment	☐ Plugs for Floor	Drains					
Secondary Tanks for Holding	Premix (low) C	Premix (low) Concentrations					
Alarms	Chain restraints	Chain restraints, limited access					
Spills Control Kits for Cleanup	Notification Procedures						
☐ Chemical desegregation within Storage Area ☐ Other							
Chemical Inventory List (MSDS) on file?	Yes	□No □N/A					
Were any new MSDS reviewed during the Inspection?  Yes No No N/A							
If yes, list below:							
Not Applicable							
**							
Chemical storage comments:							
All bulk chemicals are stored in the same room	with the treatment sy	ystem and D.I. Unit.					
Chemical handling procedures (totes, dolly, buckets, hardline, etc):							
Not Applicable							

Attachment E: Spill/Slug Control Plan						
Does the facility have a Spill/Slug control plan?	☐ yes ☐ no¹					
If yes are the following: 403.8(f)(2)(v)(A-D) requirements in place?						
Is the spill/slug control plan <2 years old?	yes no N/A					
(A) Describes discharge practices including non routine batch (slug) discharges	yes no N/A					
(B) Describes storage and handling of chemicals	yes no N/A					
(C) Procedures for immediate notification to POTW of slug discharges	yes no N/A					
(D) 1. Describes measures for controlling toxic/hazardous pollutants	yes no N/A					
2. Describes procedures and equipment for emergency response	yes no N/A					
3. Describes follow-up to limit damage suffered by POTW or environment	yes no N/A					
4. Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no N/A					
5. Are worker personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no N/A					
If no:	1					
Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no					
Is it posted in areas where chemicals are used and stored?	yes no					
If Yes how many?						
Are appropriate personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no					
Have there been any non-routine, episodic discharges or chemical spills in the past year?	yes no					
(Briefly Describe, Include Dates)						
Was the City notified of these occurrences?  yes no N/A						
Visual Inspection of Discharge Lines/Points						
Provide description of manhole condition and flow channel of the following where applicable:						
Sampling / Monitoring Point The inspector took the sample from the surge tank since no "treated	l" water was					
available.						
Total Flow Monitoring Point						
Upstream Manhole						
Point of Connection:						
<u> </u>						

<sup>1</sup>There are no floor drains; hence, virtual no potential for an accidental spill to enter the POTW. The process area is above a pit that slopes to the treatment area.

Attachment F: Self-Monitoring & if CFR 433, TTO/TOMP Requirements								
Have Operator (or person collecting the sample) to describe how composite and grab samples are collected and preserved. Record								
descriptions. Include name of individual and title. Justin Halford normally witnesses Arkansas Testing Lab Tech when the								
tech is grabbing a sample from the effluent just before it enters the pipe to the sewer system. Justin says that the tech								
immediately preserves the sample by injecting nitric acid into the plastic jug containing the sample.								
Where is the sample point located?								
☐ End of Process	Pretrea	Pretreatment Effluent						
Combined Flow	☐ Metere	ed Flow	☐ Flow A	Flow Actuator				
Private Manhole	Utility	Manhole	Advanc	Advance Notice Required				
Safety Hazards Identi	fied							
Is the Sample Collection Site Adequate?					Yes No N/A			
Does the facility rep. request a split sample on this sampling/inspection?				Yes No				
Does the facility perform	self-monitoring tests in	-house?		Yes N	o N/A			
If no, record the name and address of Contract Lab: Arkansas Testing Lab in Searcy, AR								
Automatic Sampler  or Manual								
IU Self-Monitoring Resul				Yes _	No N/A			
Is the Contract Lab certified by ADEQ for test parameters?					No N/A			
	Sample Analysis Recor			Yes _	No N/A			
	•	efer To 40CFR Part 136)		Yes _	No N/A			
EPA recommended holding times being met (Refer to 40CFR Part 136)					No N/A			
					_			
Were correct Sampl	7.2	1 10		Yes _	No N/A			
Dates and times of Sample Collection Recorded?				Yes _	No N/A			
Were Samples preserved correctly (refer to 40CFR Part 136)				Yes _	No N/A			
Were Self Monitoring records on file for past 3 years?								
List the parameters the fa								
Cd(t) twice/year	Cu(t) twice/year	Cr(t) twice/year	Ni(t) twice/year		Pb(t) twice/year			
Ag(t) twice/year	Zn(t) twice/year	□ pH	CN (t) twice/year		CN (a-c)			
TTO-Vol	□TTO-B/N	□тто-а.е.	TTO-Pest		Cr(hex)			
Toxic Organic Management Plan (TOMP) for Metal Finishers under CFR 433								
How does the IU report TTO? Analysis Certification Statement								
Does the facility have a Toxic Organic Management Plan? Yes No N/A								
If yes, Does the plan show how toxic organics are used, stored, and disposed?  Yes No N/A  List the data of the last revision to the TOMP:								
List the date of the last revision to the TOMP:  Is the TOMP being followed as written? Yes No N/A (If no, provide explanation in comments.)								
If no, is there evidence that a TOMP is needed? Yes No N/A (If yes, provide description of evidence in comments.)								
Comments: According to the TWH cover letter attached to each semi-annual report, TWH does not store or use any chemicals that contain any of the 110 regulated toxic organics.								